

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,753	07/30/2004	Dinesh R. Patel	68.0505	4752
35204 759 SCHLUMBERGE		EXAMINER		
SCHLUMBERGER RESERVOIR COMPLETIONS 14910 AIRLINE ROAD ROSHARON, TX 77583			HARCOURT, BRAD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3672		
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	THS .	02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/710,753	PATEL, DINESH R.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brad Harcourt	3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 D	ecember 2006					
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
, <del></del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<b>4</b> )⊠	1)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•	•	•				
Attachment	r(s)	•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Williamson (US Patent No. 6,668,936).

Williamson discloses a hydraulic control system comprising four valve assemblies 12, 14, 16 and 18; a hydraulic control module 32; and hydraulic fluid line 36 which actuates valves 12, 14, 16 and 18 by receiving fluid from hydraulic control module 32. All of the assemblies act as flow valves since they each correspond to a respective production zone and each valve also prevents cross flow between its respective formation and any other formations. In reference to claims 4 and 5, Williamson discloses that the system could be "intersecting any number of zones" (col. 3, line 24) and thereby could have any number of flow valves all of which are actuated by the hydraulic control device. It is also disclosed that this system can be used to "regulate a rate of production from a zone, to regulate a rate of fluid injection into a zone, etc. (col. 8, lines 27-29). In reference to claims 2 and 20, it is understood that the flow and cross flow valves both are multi position valves. In reference to claims 3, 21 and 23, it is inherent that if a hydraulic control line actuated a valve, the actuating step would be a

**Art Unit: 3672** 

pressure cycle and the valves would be actuated when the hydraulic pressure rose above a certain pressure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson (US Patent No. 6,668,936) in view of Murray (US Patent No. 8,862,865).

Williamson discloses most of the limitations of the claims, but does not disclose using a flapper valve and sleeve valves together. Murray discloses a gas lift system comprising a gas lift system comprising a flapper valve 16 and a sleeve valve 30 that are controlled by "existing control line connections" (col. 1, line 53). Said flapper comprises a flapper 18 which is actuated by a piston 26 that receives pressure from pressure chamber 28 and is biased closed by spring 24. Sleeve valve 30 comprises a sleeve 32, port 34 and a piston 40 that receives pressure from pressure conduit 42. In reference to claims 11 and 12, the flapper is biased closed by spring 24 and would only open when enough hydraulic pressure is exerted on piston 26. In reference to claim 14, the flapper valve disclosed by Murray would act as a cross-flow prevention valve and would prevent cross-flow between two multi-position valves. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include

Art Unit: 3672

a flapper valve and control it by the same hydraulic line that controls the other valves of Williamson in view of Murray to better prevent cross-flow between two adjacent formations.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant amended the title of the invention and the objection is withdrawn.

The applicant argues that the rejection to claims 1-13 and 19-23 should be withdrawn as Williamson (US Patent No. 6,668,936) does not disclose a flow valve and a cross-flow prevention valve that are both controlled by one hydraulic control line. However, Williamson discloses the usage of "one or more control lines 34, or other types of flowpaths" (col. 3, lines 32-33). The applicant quotes a section of the specification, column 6, where multiple lines are used to control multiple valves, but that does not exclude the presence of other embodiments where one hydraulic line can be used.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 3672

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brad Harcourt whose telephone number is 571-272-7303. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

•

Art Unit: 3672

David Bagnell Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

BH 2/14/2007

> Jennifer H. Gay Primary Examiner